

In <u>Laboratory Corp.</u> , the Supreme Court has granted
certiorari on the question of whether a court may certify a class
action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) when some
members of the proposed class lack Article III injury. See id.
at *1. The NCAA argues that this issue has direct bearing on the
pending motion and this court should therefore decide the class
certification motion after the Supreme Court renders its
decision. However, it does not appear that the NCAA makes any
argument in opposition to plaintiffs' motion for class
certification suggesting that members of the proposed class lack
Article III standing in this case. (See Docket No. 94.)

Further, the NCAA provides no rationale for its requested continuance date of August 4, 2025, and there is nothing before the court to suggest that the Supreme Court is likely to issue any decision in Laboratory Corp. by that date. Waiting for such a decision would more likely result in unnecessary and significant delay.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's request for a continuance of the hearing on class certification (Docket No. 110) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED. Counsel for all parties shall personally appear at the March 3, 2025 hearing, and no appearances via telephone or videoconference will be allowed.

Dated: February 19, 2025

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE